Fluorous Peptide Nucleic Acids: PNA Analogues with Fluorine in Backbone (γ-CF₂-apg-PNA) Enhance Cellular Uptake

Satheesh Ellipilli and Krishna N. Ganesh*

Chemical Biology Unit, Indian Institute of Science [Ed](#page-5-0)ucation and Research (IISER), Dr. Bhabha Road, Pune 411008, Maharashtra India

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [Fluorous PNA](#page-5-0) analogues possessing fluorine as inherent part of aminopropylglycine (apg) backbone (γ -CF₂-apg PNA) have been synthesized and evaluated for biophysical and cell penetrating properties. These form duplexes of higher thermal stability with cRNA than cDNA, although destabilized compared to duplexes of standard aeg-PNA. Cellular uptake of the fluorinated γ-CF₂-apg PNAs in NIH 3T3 and HeLa cells was 2−3-fold higher compared to that of nonfluorinated apg PNA, with NIH 3T3 cells showing better permeability compared to HeLa cells. The backbone fluorinated PNAs, which are first in this class, when combined with other chemical modifications may have potential for future PNA-based antisense agents.

ENTRODUCTION

Peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) are a class of DNA analogues synthesized by replacing the sugar-phosphate backbone of DNA with a neutral and achiral polyamide backbone consisting of N-(2-aminoethyl)glycine units (Figure 1a).^{1−3}

Figure 1. Chemical structures of (a) aeg-PNA, (b) γ -CF₂-apg-PNA and (c) apg-PNA; $B = A/G/C/T$.

PNA readily forms Watson−Crick base-pairing with complementary DNA and RNA with stronger affinity than the iso-sequential DNA/DNA or DNA/RNA duplexes due to a higher flexibility and neutral charge of the backbone.^{4,5} In spite of their superior DNA/RNA complementation properties and resistance to cellular enzymes such as nucleases an[d pr](#page-5-0)oteases, their application as an antisense/antigene drug is inadequate due to poor aqueous solubility and inefficient cellular uptake.5−⁸ To overcome these limitations, PNA has been chemically modified in many ways, some of which have shown improv[e](#page-5-0)[me](#page-6-0)nt in desired attributes.^{8−10}

Fluorine, an isostere of hydrogen, is small in size with higher electronegativity and low polariza[bi](#page-6-0)li[ty](#page-6-0). Substitution of hydrogen with fluorine in molecules is well-known to affect physiochemical properties like lipophilicity, volatility, solubility, acidity, basicity, hydrogen bonding, etc.¹¹ The polar hydrophobicity of fluorine enhances the affinity to natural receptors and increases its metabolic stability [lea](#page-6-0)ding to a higher

availability of the drug.¹² Fluorine has played an important role in medicinal chemistry, with several known examples of drugs incorporating flu[ori](#page-6-0)ne,¹³ including fluorinated oligonucleotides for potential antisense activity.^{14,15} Herein, we describe new analogues of [PN](#page-6-0)A that have fluorine in the backbone, which show an increase in the [cell](#page-6-0) permeability compared to standard PNAs, without seriously altering the strength and fidelity of hybridization with complementary DNA/RNA.

The first fluorinated PNA analogue reported was olefinic PNA in which the tertiary rotameric amide bond was replaced with a more rigid fluoro vinyl moiety to demonstrate the important role of amide in stabilizing the PNA duplex with $cDNA¹⁶$ In a recent report,¹⁷ the thymine base in PNA side chain was replaced by 5 -CF₃/C(CF₃)₃-uracil in order to follow hybrid[iza](#page-6-0)tion using 19 F NM[R. H](#page-6-0)owever, there are no reports on the effect of fluorine substitution of PNA backbone on comparative hybridization with cDNA/RNA and importantly on their cell permeability. We now report the synthesis of C_{γ} difluoro aminopropyl glycine peptide nucleic acid ($γ$ -CF₂-apg PNA, Figure 1b) derived from substituting the central methylene group in aminopropyl moiety of apg PNA (Figure 1c) with a difluoromethylene group and studied their relative hybridization properties with antiparallel complementary DNA/RNA and cell uptake ability. The results indicate that fluorinated PNAs show significant enhancements in cell permeability, with minimal effects on the stability of derived PNA:DNA/RNA duplexes, retaining the fidelity of base pairing. The fluorinated γ -CF₂-apg PNAs also translocate into

Received: July 13, 2015 Published: August 31, 2015

Scheme 1. Synthesis of γ -CF₂ apg PNA-T Monomer^a

a Compounds 7 and 9 not isolated; yields of 8 and 10 mentioned are overall yields for two steps

Table 1. UV $-T_m$ (°C) Values of PNA:DNA and PNA:RNA Duplexes

PNA	PNA sequence	cDNA1	cRNA 1	ΔT_m^{I} $(RNA1-DNA1)$	DNA ₂	ΔT_m^2 (mismatch) (DNA2-DNA1)	RNA ₂ (mismatch)	ΔT_m^{β} $(RNA2-RNA1)$
	PNA 1 H-TTACCTCAGT-Lys	42.6	53.1	$+10.5$	31.1	-11.5	34.0	-19.1
	PNA 2 H-TTACCT*CAGT-Lys		$38.2(-4.4) 51.1(-2.0)$	$+12.9$	35.2	-3.0	34.8	-16.3
	PNA 3 H-TTACCTCAGT-Lys	42.1	49.1	$+7.0$	27.6	-14.5	28.1	-21.0
	PNA 4 H-TT*ACCT*CAGT-Lys	$39.9(-2.2)$ 48.3 (0.8)		$+8.4$	33.4	-6.5	33.4	-14.9
	PNA 5 H-TTACCTCAGT-Lys	38.8	48.3	$+9.5$	27.8	-11.0	36.2	-12.1
	PNA 6 H-TT*ACCT*CAGT*-Lys		$38.4 (-0.4) 47.9 (-0.4)$	$+9.5$	33.2	-5.2	38.4	-9.5
	PNA 7 H-TTACCTCAGT-Lys $\frac{3\pi\phi}{2\pi}$ and π and π is the set of the state π and π and π and π and π	48.6	60.6	$+12.0$	28.6	-20.0	40.5	-20.1

 $T^* = \gamma$ -CF₂ apg-T; $T = apg$ -T; ΔT_m indicates the difference in T_m of PNA with cDNA 1 and mismatch DNA 2; The values are accurate to \pm 0.5 °C. cDNA 1 = 3'AATGGAGTCA5'; DNA 2 = 3'AATGGCGTCA5'; cRNA 1 = 3'AATGGAGTCA5'; RNA $2 = 3'AAUGGCGUCA5'$

cytoplasm and localize in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) better than the nonfluorinated apg PNA.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of γ -CF₂-apg PNA-T Monomer 15. The synthesis of fluorinated γ -CF₂-apg PNA-T monomer 15 (Scheme 1) was started from ethanolamine 1, which was Oprotected by reaction with TBDMSCl to obtain compound $2.^{18a}$ This was N- alkylated with benzyl bromide (1 equiv) to get compound 3, followed by reaction with formalin and b[enz](#page-6-0)otriazole extending the backbone by one carbon to yield 4. This was subjected to Reformatsky reaction with ethylbromodifluoroacetate that resulted in the difluoromethylene compound 5. The ester group in compound 5 was reduced to alcohol 6, followed by its conversion to corresponding azide via the triflate 7. The azide was reduced to the amine 9 through Staudinger reaction and protected as the Boc derivative 10. The N-benzyl group in 10 was hydrogenatively deprotected to the secondary amine 11 followed by N-acylation with chloroacetyl chloride to obtain 12. This was coupled with thymine nucleobase under standard conditions to obtain compound 13. The deprotection of O-TBDMS using TBAF to the alcohol 14 and subsequent oxidation to acid using TEMPO/BAIB gave the desired monomer 15. All intermediates and final compound 15 were characterized by spectroscopic techniques $(^{1}H, ^{13}C, ^{15}H)$ and ¹⁹F NMR) and mass spectrometry (Supporting Information). The control apg-PNA monomer 16 was synthesized by following standard procedure^{18b} used for [synthesis of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) a eg-PNA, [start](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf)ing from 1,3-diamino propane 17, which was monoprotected to the N1-Boc de[riva](#page-6-0)tive 18, and N-alkylated with ethylbromo acetate to 19. This was N-acylated with chloroacetyl chloride to 20, followed by coupling with thymine

to get the apg-PNA-T ethylester 21 that was hydrolyzed to the required acid monomer 16 (Supporting Information).

Synthesis of apg and γ -CF₂-apg PNA Oligomers. The modified apg-PNA monomers 15 and 16 were incorporated site specifically into a 10-mer aeg[-PNA](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [sequence](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [\(part](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) antisense sequence ON-705 corresponding to aberrant splice site of luciferase gene $pLuc/705$ ¹⁹ at different sites by manual solidphase peptide synthesis on L-lysine-derivatized MBHA resin using standard Boc-chem[ist](#page-6-0)ry protocol. The couplings were performed using HBTU-HOBt under microwave conditions (25 W at 75 °C). The synthesized PNA oligomers were cleaved from the resin using TFA:TFMSA reagent that also effected deprotection of Boc and Cbz groups. For cellular uptake studies, the PNA oligomers were tagged with $5(6)$ -carboxy fluorescein at the N-terminus through a lysine linker using N,N′-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIPCDI) as coupling agent in pyridine on solid support. All the synthesized PNAs 1−9 (Table 1) were purified by RP HPLC and characterized by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Supporting Information).

PNA:DNA/RNA Hybridization Studies by UV and CD **[Spectros](#page-1-0)copy.** The effect of substituting γ -CH₂ by γ -CF₂ in PNA backbone on the thermal sta[bility](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [of](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [PNA](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [duplexes](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [w](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf)ith complementary DNA 1 and RNA 1 were examined by temperature-dependent UV absorbance and the comparative T_m values are shown in Table 1. The centrally modified γ-CF₂apg PNA 2 destabilized the duplex with cDNA 1 by −4.4 °C as compared to the T_m of [control](#page-1-0) apg-PNA 1:DNA 1 duplex. The doubly modified γ -CF₂-apg PNA 4 (N-terminus and middle) destabilized the derived duplex with cDNA PNA 4:DNA 1 by 2.2 °C compared to the corresponding control duplex apg-PNA 3:DNA 1. The triple modified (C, N, and middle) γ -CF₂-apg PNA 6 showed duplex thermal stability almost same as that of the corresponding nonfluorinated apg PNA 5 (Table 1).

The analogous duplexes with cRNA 1 constituted from the PNAs 1−6 showed T_m s significantly hig[her tha](#page-1-0)n the corresponding duplexes with cDNA 1 ($\Delta T_{\text{m}}^{\text{1}} \sim +7.0-13$ °C, Table 1). The centrally modified γ -CF₂-apg PNA 2 destabilized the duplex with cRNA 1 by 2.0 °C compared to that of control apg PNA 1. The RNA duplexes from the double modified PNA 4 [\(N-te](#page-1-0)rminus and middle) and triple modified PNA 6 with γ - CF_2 -apg units have almost the same stability as duplex from the corresponding control PNAs 3 and 5. The DNA/RNA duplex stabilities of all apg-PNAs (PNAs 1−6) were lower than the reference aeg-PNA (PNA 7), and the magnitude of thermal stability of PNA:RNA and PNA:DNA duplexes decreased slightly with the increasing degree of apg-substitution consistent with previous reports.²⁰ This arises from the fact that the *apg*-PNAs reported here have a hybrid aeg-apg backbone, leading to different base spacin[gs](#page-6-0) at aeg and apg steps, leading to a nonuniform backbone, unlike aeg-PNA 7 with uniform base spacing on backbone. To determine the fidelity of sequence specificity, the fluorinated PNAs were hybridized with DNA 2/ RNA 2 having a single mismatch base at the middle of the sequence (Table 1). The γ -CF₂-apg PNAs (PNA 2, 4, and 6) showed a lower mismatch tolerance $(\Delta T_{\text{m}}^2 = -3.0 \text{ to } -6.5 \text{ °C})$ for duplex [with D](#page-1-0)NA 1 compared to that of corresponding unmodified apg PNAs (1, 3, and 5) (ΔT_{m}^2 = -11.5 to -14.5 °C). In contrast, all PNAs showed much higher discrimination for mismatch RNA 2 ($\Delta T_{\text{m}}^2 = 12-21$ °C), and the triple modified γ -CF₂-apg PNA **6** had ΔT_m^2 of 9 °C.

The CD spectra of duplexes of γ -CF₂-apg PNAs with cDNA 1 showed a positive band at ∼266 nm and negative band at ∼243 nm, and for duplexes with cRNA, a positive band at ∼261

nm and negative bands at ∼291 and ∼239 nm. These CD signatures are not different from that of unmodified duplexes PNA 1:DNA 1 and PNA 1:RNA 1 (Supporting Information), suggesting similar conformations for both fluorinated and nonfluorinated apg PNAs.

Cell Uptake Studies. The fluorin[ated](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [PNAs](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [were](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [examine](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf)d for their cell-uptake ability and intracellular localization site using NIH 3T3 and HeLa cell lines. The fluorinated PNAs 5 and 6 on solid support were coupled with $5(6)$ -carboxyfluorescein at the N-terminus through a lysine unit (Supporting Information) to obtain the corresponding fluorescent PNAs (apg PNA 8-Flu and γ -CF₂-apg PNA 9-Flu). [The cell](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf) [permeability](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614/suppl_file/jo5b01614_si_001.pdf), localization, and uptake studies of these PNAs were carried out in two different cell lines, NIH 3T3 and HeLa, by confocal microscopy and FACS analysis. The cells were incubated with the fluorescently labeled PNAs (PNA 8-Flu and PNA 9-Flu; 2 μ M) for 24 h followed by nuclear stain (Hoechst 33342, blue) and ER-tracker dye (ER-Red). The live cell confocal images for the PNA 8-Flu and PNA 9-Flu in NIH 3T3 and HeLa cells (Figure 2) indicate that both PNAs were taken

Figure 2. Confocal images of PNAs 8-Flu and 9-Flu in NIH 3T3 cells and HeLa cells: (a) nuclear stain, Hoechst 33442; (b) red fluorescence image from ER-red; (c) green fluorescence image from PNA; and (d) superimposed image of A−C and bright-field image.

by the cells and localized in cytoplasm. Further, the presence of PNAs in ER was inferred from the superimposed images of ERred dye and PNA green fluorescence that gave yellow color resulting from colocalization of red and green spots in NIH 3T3 cells and HeLa cells (Figure 2d).

The quantitative estimation of the cell-penetrating abilities of the PNA oligomers was done by fluorescence activated cell sorter (FACS) analysis (Figure 3). The mean fluorescence intensities were found to be slightly more for fluorinated γ -CF₂apg PNA (PNA 9-Flu) as [compared](#page-3-0) to apg nonfluorinated PNA (PNA 8-Flu). The percent positive cells (proportion of cells uptaking PNA) were computed by instrument software after excluding auto fluorescence from overall gated cells (Figure 4).

Figure 3. Mean fluorescence of PNA cell permeation in HeLa and NIH 3T3 cells (black is cells without dye).

Figure 4. Percentage count of positive cells of fluorinated and nonfluorinated PNAs in HeLa and NIH 3T3 cells.

The data indicated that the fluorinated γ -CF₂-apg PNA (PNA 9-Flu) is taken up by ∼14% and ∼29% in HeLa and NIH 3T3 cells, respectively, about 2- and 3-fold higher than the nonfluorinated PNA (PNA 8-Flu).

The backbone fluorinated PNAs (PNAs 2, 4, and 6), in which the γ -CH₂ of apg-PNA-T unit is replaced by γ -CF₂ moiety, form a duplex with complementary RNA 1 better than that with cDNA $1[\Delta T_{\text{m}} (RNA - DNA) \sim +8.4$ to +12.9 °C]. The duplex $T_m s$ depend on the site of incorporation of fluorinated units and decrease slightly with increasing degree of substitutions. However, the DNA/RNA duplexes of fluorinated PNAs (except the center modified PNA) showed about the same stability as that of corresponding nonfluorinated apg PNAs with cDNA/RNA (Table 1). The confocal images of cell line experiments involving blue nuclear stain, Hoechst 33442, and ER-red dye tracker ill[ustrate th](#page-1-0)at the fluorinated PNA 9-Flu is taken by both the cell lines into cytoplasm and localized in ER. Quantitative estimation of cell uptake measured using FACS indicates that the tri-substituted γ -CF₂-apg PNA 9-Flu exhibits 2−3-fold greater cell permeating ability in HeLa and NIH 3T3 cells compared to apg PNA 8-Flu. The data in Figure 4 suggest that the cell permeability and localization are better in NIH 3T3 cells than HeLa cells.

■ CONCLUSION

Introduction of fluorine that has medicinally relevant atomic attributes of small size, higher electronegativity, and increased lipophilicity into PNA backbone in the form of a γ -CF₂-apg unit has led to the first examples of fluorous PNAs. The synthetic route is amenable for extension to synthesis of monomers with other nucleobases as well, with potential for the synthesis of fully modified γ -CF₂-apg PNAs in the context of future work. The study of their hybridiziation properties indicated that the thermal stabilities of duplexes from fluorinated PNAs with cDNA and cRNA do not change very much; both apg and γ- CF_2 -apg PNAs are biased to bind RNA more than DNA. Significantly, the efficiency of cellular entry of fluorinated γ - CF_2 -apg PNAs is better than its corresponding nonfluorinated apg PNA analogues, which get localized in the ER of NIH 3T3 and HeLa cells. The conceptual demonstration that the fluorinated PNAs are better cell-permeable will add a new repertoire for growing tactics to improve PNAs in antisense applications.²¹ This inherent modification of backbone neither introduces chirality nor alters the conformational features of PNAs. It ca[n b](#page-6-0)e used in combination with other modifications (cationic, 22 conjugating hydrophobic chains, 15 etc.), including fluoro nucleobases (5-F-U, 5-CF₃-U) to increase the fluorine content [to](#page-6-0) further enhance the biochemic[al](#page-6-0) attributes. Such work on exploration of fluorous PNAs in conjunction with other modifications is currently in progress.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All commercial solvents were distilled prior to use: THF was distilled over sodium/benzophenone under nitrogen atmosphere, CH₂Cl₂ over CaH₂, and DMF over P_2O_5 . Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated silica gel GF254 plates. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel (100–200 µm). ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR were recorded at 400, 100, and 376.6 MHz, respectively, in CDCl₃ or DMSO- d_6 . The compounds 2 and 16 were prepared as per reported procedures.¹⁸ The chemical shift values are in delta scale. For $19F$ NMR, hexafluorobenzene was used as internal reference. IR spectra were record[ed](#page-6-0) with neat samples. MALDI-TOF mass spectra of PNA oligomers were recorded using DHB as the matrix. HRMS data were recorded using ESI positive mode. PNA oligomers were purified by HPLC system using semipreparative C18 (10×250 mm) column, using gradient elution with water and increasing amounts of ACN (5%−50%).

Synthesis of N-Benzyl-2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy) **ethanolamine 3.** To the solution of compound 2 (10 g, 57.3) mmol) in ACN (100 mL), bromobenzene (5.0 mL, 40.1 mmol) and Et3N (19.4 mL, 28.6 mmol) were added, and stirring continued at RT for 12 h. After completion of the reaction, water was added, and the product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The dried organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure, and product was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc (50:50) to obtain the compound 3 (27.6 g, yield 55%). IR (neat) 3061, 3029, 2929, 2857, 1496, 1392, 1253, 1099, 938, 833, 777; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 0.06 (s, 6H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 1.92 (bs, 1H), 2.75 (t, 2H), 3.76 (t, 2H), 3.83 (s, 2H), 7.26−7.34 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : −5.7, 18.0, 25.6, 50.8, 53.3, 61.9, 76.4, 76.7, 77.0, 126.6, 127.8, 128.1, 140.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + H]^{+}$ Calcd for C₁₅H₂₈NOSi 266.1940; Found 266.1944.

Synthesis of ^N-((1H-Benzo[d][1,2,3]triazol-1-yl)methyl)-N- benzyl-2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl) oxy)ethanolamine 4. To a solution of compound 3 (5 g, 18.8 mmol) in diethyl ether (50.0 mL), benzotriazole (3.4 g, 28.3 mmol) and formalin (2 mL of 35% formalin, 22.6 mmol) were added at 0 $^{\circ}$ C, and stirring continued at RT for 12 h. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was filtered, and filtrate was concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc (70:30) to obtain the compound 4 (5.9 g, 80% yield): IR (neat) 3062, 2856,1495, 1253, 115, 1001, 834, 777, 700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 0.02 (min.) 0.05 (maj.) (s, 6H), 0.87 (min.) 0.89 (maj.) (s, 9H), 2.84 $(t, J = 4.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 3.77 $(t, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 3.82 $(s, 2H)$, 5.59 $(s, 2H)$, 7.24−8.06 (m, 9H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: −5.2, 26.0, 53.5, 53.7, 56.8, 62.4, 66.7, 110.4, 118.4, 119.8, 123.8, 126.2, 127.2, 127.4, 128.4, 128.8, 129.0, 133.8, 138.4, 145.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M $-$ CH₂Bt + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₅H₂₈NOSi 266.1940; Found 266.1938.

Synthesis of Ethyl 3-(Benzyl(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy) ethyl)amino)-2,2-difluoropropanoate 5. Zinc (4.1 g, 63.1 mmol) and TMSCl (1.6 mL, 12.6 mmol) were added to freshly distilled THF (25 mL), and the mixture was stirred vigorously at 50 °C for 15 min. Ethyl bromodifluoroacetate (6.4 mL, 50.5 mmol) was added and stirring continued for 20 min, followed by addition of compound 4 (5 g, 12.6 mmol) in THF (10 mL) and stirring at RT for 6 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite pad, and filtrate was concentrated under vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc (80:20) to obtain pure compound 5 (2.2 g, 45% yield): IR (neat) 2958, 2859, 1743, 1452, 1370, 1254, 1209, 1101 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ: 0.00 (s, 6H), 0.86 (s, 9H), 1.30 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 3H), 2.68 (t, J $= 8$ Hz, 2H), 3.30 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 3.62 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 2H), 4.27 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.22−7.28 (m, 5H); 19F-NMR (376.6 MHz, CDCl₃, C₆F₆ as internal reference) δ : −109.15(s, 2F); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : −5.3, 14.0 25.9, 55.6, 57.3(t, J_{C−F} = 27.0 Hz), 59.4, 61.1, 62.7, 127.1, 128.3, 128.8, 138.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{20}H_{34}F_2NO_3Si$ 402.2277; Found 402.2273.

Synthesis of 3-(Benzyl(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)ethyl) amino)-2,2-difluoropropan-1-ol (6). To the solution of ester 5 (2.25 g, 5.6 mmol) in ethanol (20.0 mL), sodium borohydride (0.85 g, 22.4 mmol) was added at 0 $^{\circ}$ C and stirred at RT 12 h. After completion of reaction, water was added and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The dried organic layer was concentrated, and the product was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc $(70:30)$ to obtain the compound 6 $(1.91 g, 1.91 g, 1$ 95% yield): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ: 0.04 (s, 6H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 2.66 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H). 3.07 (t, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.73 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.74 (s, 2H), 3.83 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 4.02 (maj.) 4.12 (min.) (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (s, 5H); ¹⁹F-NMR (376.6 MHz, CDCl₃, C₆F₆ as internal reference) δ : −107.28(s, 2F)¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : −5.4, 18.3, 25.9, 55.4 (t, J_{C−C−F} = 32.0 Hz), 56.2, 60.1, 61.3, 63.5 (t, J_{C-C-F} = 32.0 Hz), 123.0 (t, J_{C-F} = 244.0 Hz) 127.45, 128.5, 129.0, 138.2; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + H]+ Calcd for $C_{18}H_{32}F_2NO_2Si$ [M + H]⁺ 360.2170; Found 360.2168.

Synthesis of 3-Azido-N-benzyl-N-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)ethyl)-2,2-difluoropropan-1-amine (8). To a solution of compound 6 (1.9 g, 5.3 mmol) in DCM, triethylamine (0.8 mL, 5.8 mmol) and triflic anhydride (10 mL, 5.8 mmol) were added at −78 °C and stirred for 1 h. After completion of reaction, reaction was quenched with water and extracted with DCM, and the dried organic layer upon concentration gave triflate 7 which was used further without purification. The triflate 7 (2.6 g, 5.3 mmol) in DMF (5.0 mL) was treated with sodium azide (1.3 g, 21.2 mmol) and stirred at RT for 12 h. Aqueous work up, extraction with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$, and concentration of organic layer yielded product that was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc (75:25) to obtain the compound 8 (1.62 g, overall 80% yield from 6): IR (neat) 3658, 2939, 2398, 2109, 1660, 1474, 1284, 1180, 1078, 958, 759, 684 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) δ: 0.06 (s, 6H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 2.73 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.07 (t, $J = 12.0$ Hz, 2H), 3.58 (t, $J =$ 12.0 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (s, 2H), 7.31−7.34 (m, 5H); ¹⁹F-NMR (376.6 MHz, CDCl₃, C_6F_6 as internal reference) δ : −106.36 (s, 2F); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ: −5.3, 18.3, 25.9, 52.2 (t, J_{C-C-F} = 28.0 Hz), 56.2 (t, J_{C-C-F} = 27.0 Hz), 56.5, 56.5, 60.1, 61.5, 123.1 (t, J_{C-F} = 243.0 Hz), 127.3, 128.4, 128.8, 138.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{18}H_{31}F_2N_4OSi$ 385.2235; Found 385.2247.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl(3-(benzyl(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl) oxy)ethyl)amino)-2,2-difluoropropyl) carbamate (10). The azide 8 (1.6 g, 4.1 mmol) in THF (15 mL) containing triphenylphosphine (1.1 g, 4.2 mmol) was stirred at RT for 12 h. Water (5 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and warmed to 45 °C for 4 h, after which THF was evaporated and product was extracted with ethyl acetate (3×15) mL). The dried organic layer was concentrated to obtain crude compound 9, which was used for further reaction without purification. To the solution of compound 9 (1.5 g, 4.2 mmol) in ACN (10.0 mL), triethylamine (0.6 mL, 4.6 mmol) and Boc-anhydride (1.0 g, 4.6 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 6 h, followed by aqueous work up, extraction with ethyl acetate (3×20) mL), and purification of crude product by column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc (80:20) gave compound 10 (1.4 g, overall 75% yield from 8): IR (neat) 2954, 2859, 1722, 1513, 1461, 1390, 1368, 1252, 1167, 1095, 1004 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ: 0.04 (s, 6H), 0.89 (s, 9H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 2.70 (t, J = 8.0 Hz,

2H), 2.97 (t, J = 12.0 Hz, 2H), 3.56–3.64 (m, 2H), 3.72 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.76 (s, 2H), 5.04 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.34 (m, 5H); ¹⁹F-NMR (376.6 MHz, CDCl₃, C₆F₆ as internal reference) δ : −106. 57 (s, 2F); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : −5.3, 18.3, 26.0, 28.4, 43.4 (t, $J_{\text{C--C--F}}$ = 29.0 Hz), 55.98 (t, $J_{\text{C--C--F}}$ = 27.0 Hz), 56.5, 60.3, 61.5, 79.6, 122.9 (t, J_{C-F} = 244.0 Hz), 127.3, 128.5, 129.0, 129.1 138.8, 155.9; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : $[M + H]^+$ Calcd for $C_{23}H_{41}F_2N_2O_3Si$ 459.2855; Found 459.2855.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl(3-((2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy) ethyl)amino)-2,2-difluoropropyl) carbamate (11). The compound 10 (1.4 g, 3.1 mmol) in ethanol (10.0 mL) was hydrogenated using Pd/C (0.05 g, 10% mmol) as catalyst and hydrogen balloon (∼14.0 psi) at RT for 1 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite pad and the filtrate was concentrated and the residue purified by column chromatography. Elution with petroleum ether/EtOAc (60:40) yielded compound 11 (0.9 g, 80% yield): IR (neat) 3010, 2969, 1740, 1436, 1369, 1222, 901 cm[−]¹ ; 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.07 (s, 6H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 1.84 (bs, 1H), $2.77(t, J = 4.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, $3.01 (t, J = 12.0 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, $3.56-3.65 (m, 2H)$, 3.70 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 5.03 (bs, 1H); ¹⁹F-NMR(CDCl₃, 376.6 MHz) $δ: -109.55$ (s, 2F); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: −5.2, 18.3, 25.9, 28.3, 29.7, 43.5 (t, J_{C-C-F} = 30.0 Hz), 51.2, 51.5, 51.8, 62.3, 80.0, 122.0 (t, J_{C-F} = 242.0 Hz), 155.8; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : [M + H]⁺ Calcd for $C_{16}H_{35}F_2N_2O_3Si$ 369.2385; Found 369.2383.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl(3-(N-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy) ethyl)-2-chloroacetamido)-2,2-difluoropropyl) carbamate (12). To a solution of compound 11 (0.9 g, 2.5 mmol) in 1:1 water, dioxane (5 mL), sodium bicarbonate (0.5 g, 5.4 mmol), and chloroacetyl chloride (0.2 mL, 2.7 mmol) were added at 0 °C and stirred for 2 h, monitoring the completion of reaction by TLC. After completion of the reaction, water was added and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 15$ mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and product was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc (70:30) to obtain the compound 12 (1.1 g, 85% yield): IR (neat) 3004, 2965, 1737, 1660, 1512, 1426, 1368, 1221, 924 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ: 0.05 (s, 6H), 0.88 (s, 9H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 3.46−3.51 (m, 2H), 3.62−3.67 (m, 2H), 3.76−3.86 (m, 2H), 4.09 (min.) 4.12 (maj.) (s, 1H), 4.34 (maj.) 4.37 (min.) (s,1H), 5.45 (min.) 5.63 (maj.) (bs, 1H); ¹⁹F-NMR (376.6 MHz, CDCl₃, C₆F₆ as internal reference) δ : −107.31 (s, 2F); 13C NMR CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ: −5.5, 18.2, 25.8, 28.4, 29.7, 41.3, 46.6, 50.8, 59.8, 79.9, 121.4, 156.0, 169.7; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/ z: $[M + Na]^+$ Calcd for $C_{18}H_{35}ClF_2N_2NaO_4Si$ 467.1920; Found 467.1920.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl(3-(N-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy) ethyl)-2-(5-methyl-2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl) acetamido)-2,2-difluoropropyl)carbamate (13). To a solution of compound 12 (1.1 g, 2.4 mmol) in DMF, thymine (0.31 g, 2.4 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (0.3 g, 2.4 mmol) were added at 0 °C and stirred at RT for 12 h. The reaction was quenched with aq. sat. $KHSO₄$ solution and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The dried organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography. Elution with petroleum ether/EtOAc (50:50) to obtain the compound 13 (1.0 g, 80% yield): IR: 3007, 2968, 1739, 1444, 1368, 1222 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ: 0.09 (s, 6H), 0.09 (s, 9H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.93 (s, 3H), 3.41−3.49 (m, 2H), 3.63 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.75−3.84 (m, 4H), 4.51 (min.) 4.68 (maj.) (s, 2H), 5.41 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (s, 1H), 8.86 (bs, 1H); ¹⁹F-NMR (376.6 MHz, CDCl₃, C₆F₆ as internal reference) δ : −107.52 (maj.) -108.69 (min.) (s, 2F); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : −5.3, 12.5, 18.4, 26.0, 28.3, 29.7, 42.3 (t, $J = 30$ Hz), 46.9 (t, $J = 31$ Hz), 48.7, 50.3, 60.2, 79.9, 110.9, 121.49, 140.8, 151.0, 155.8, 164.1, 169.0; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : $[M + Na]^+$ Calcd for $C_{23}H_{40}F_2N_4NaO_6Si$ 557.2583; Found 557.2572.

Synthesis of tert-Butyl(2,2-difluoro-3-(N-(2-hydroxyethyl)-2- (5-methyl-2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydro pyrimidin1(2H)-yl)acetamido)propyl)carbamate (14). To a solution of compound 13 (1.0 g, 2.0 mmol) in THF (1.0 mL), TBAF (1.6 mL of 1.0 M, 6.0 mmol) was added at 0 °C and stirred at RT for 3 h. THF was evaporated followed by aqueous work up and extraction with ethyl acetate $(4 \times 25 \text{ mL})$, and concentration of the dried organic layer gave crude product. This was purified by column chromatography, eluting with DCM/MeOH (90:10) to obtain the compound 14 (0.6 g, 75% yield): IR (neat) 3443, 2970, 1732, 1516, 1460, 1367, 1217 cm^{−1}; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ: 1.38, (s, 9H), 1.75 (s, 3H) 3.46–3.62 $(m, 4H)$, 3.83 (maj.) 3.95 (min.) (t, J = 16.0 Hz, 2H), 4.53 (min.) 4.71 (maj.) (s, 2H), $5.01(t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H)$, $7.05-7.08$ (m, 1H), $7.35(bs,$ 1H); ¹⁹F-NMR (376.6 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , C_6F_6 as internal reference) δ : −106.20 (maj.) −107.56 (min.) (s, 2F) 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSOd6) δ: 12.4, 28.6, 43.0, 48.4, 49.8, 50.2, 65.4, 78.9, 108.8, 122.3, 142.5, 151.5, 156.3, 164.9, 168.8, 169.5, 170.2, 170.7; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/ z: $[M + Na]^+$ Calcd for $C_{17}H_{26}F_2N_4NaO_6$ 443.1718; Found 443.1710.

Synthesis of 2-(N-(3-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)-2,2-difluoropropyl)-2-(5-methyl-2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)acetamido)acetic acid (15). To a solution of compound 14 (0.6 g, 1.5 mmol) in water:ACN (1:1), TEMPO (25 mg, 0.15 mmol) and BAIB (0.5 g, 1.6 mmol) were added at RT, stirred for 2 h followed up by standard work up, and washed with diethyl ether $(2 \times$ 5 mL) to remove by-products. Addition of aq. sat. KHSO₄ followed by extraction with ethyl acetate and solvent evaporation afforded the difluoro PNA monomer 15 (0.38 g, 60% yield). IR (neat) 3359, 2976, 2927, 1676, 1518, 1468, 1418, 1393, 1249, 1165, 1109 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ: 1.38 (maj.) 1.40 (min.) (s, 9H), 1.75 (s, 3H), 3.47−3.55 (m, 2H), 3.77−3.96 (m, 2H), 4.01 (maj.) 4.29 (min.) (s, 2H), 4.56 (maj.) 4.63 (min.) (s, 2H), 7.08 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33−7.40 (m, 1H), 11.31 (bs, 1H); 19F-NMR (376.6 MHz, DMSO d_6 , C₆F₆ as internal reference) δ : −106.70 (min.) −107.31 (maj.) (s, $2F$); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ : -12.4, 28.6, 43.0, 48.4, 49.8, 50.2, 78.9, 108.6, 119.6, 122.3, 124.5, 142.5, 151.4, 156.1, 164.9, 168.8, 169.5, 170.2, 170.7; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: [M + Na]+ Calcd for $C_{17}H_{24}F_{2}N_{4}NaO_{7}$ 457.1511; Found 457.1509.

Synthesis of Ethyl 2-((3-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino) propyl)amino)acetate (19). 18c To a solution of excess propylene 1,3-diamine 17 (10 g, 135 mmol) in dichloromethane (250 mL), Bocanhydride (15 mL, dissolved i[n 50](#page-6-0) mL DCM, 135 mmol) was added at 0 °C dropwise and stirred at RT for 12 h. After completion of reaction, water was added and extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The organic layer was washed with aq. $NAHCO₃$ solution, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated to obtain the crude compound 18, which was used for next reaction without any purification. To the solution of compound 18 (15 g, 86.2 mmol) in ACN (50 mL), triethylamine (23.3 mL, 86.2 mmol) and ethyl bromoacetate (9.6 mL, dissolved in 20 mL ACN, 86.2 mmol) were added at 0 °C dropwise, and stirring continued at RT for 12 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC, and after completion of the reaction, ACN was evaporated, and water was added and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine solution, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated to obtain the product $19.$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 1.28 (t, J = 6 Hz, 3H), 1.60–1.73 (m, 2H), 1.79 (bs, 1H), 2.67 (t, J = 6 Hz, 2H), 3.16–3.29 (m, 2H), 3.39 (s, 2H), 4.20 (q, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 4.99 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 14.2, 28.4, 29.9, 38.8, 47.2, 50.8, 60.7, 79.0, 156.0, 172.4.

Ethyl 2-(N-(3-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)propyl)-2-chloro**acetamido)acetate (20).** To a solution of the compound 19 (20 g, 76.9 mmol) in water:dioxane (1:1, 50 mL), NaHCO₃ (14.2 g, 169.2) mmol) was added, and after stirring for 5 min, chloroacetyl chloride (6.8 mL, 84.6 mmol) was added at 0 °C dropwise, and stirring continued for 5 h. Water was added, product extracted with EtOAc (3 × 50 mL), and the dried organic layer was concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc (1:1) to obtain the compound 20. (Yield 20.16 g, 78%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.21–1.27 (m, 3H) 1.38 (maj) 1.39 (min) (s, 9H), 1.59−1.83(m, 2H), 3.03−3.14 (m, 2H), 3.42 (q, 2H, J = 8 Hz), 4.00 (maj) 4.01 (min) (s, 2H), 4.09−4.22 (m, 4H), 4.91 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 14.1, 28.4, 29.1, 37.0, 37.9, 40.8, 41.1, 45.3, 47.2, 48.0, 49.9, 53.5, 61.4, 62.1, 76.8, 77.1, 77.5, 79.0, 79.5; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : $[M + K]^+$ Calcd for C₁₄H₂₅ClKN₂O₅ 375.1089; Found 375.1090.

Synthesis of Ethyl 2-(N-(3-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino) propyl)-2-(5-methyl-2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)- acetamido)acetate (21). To a solution of the compound 20 (10 g, 29.8 mmol) in DMF (20 mL), K_2CO_3 (4.1 g, 29.8 mmol) and thymine (3.75 g, 29.8 mmol) were added and stirred at RT for 12 h. The reaction was quenched with aq. KHSO₄, extracted with EtOAC (3 \times 25 mL), and the dried organic layer was concentrated. The residual product was purified by column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether/EtOAc (1:1) to obtain the compound 20. (Yield 9.5 g, 75%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 1.2−1.27 (m, 3H), 1.37 (s, 9H), 1.84(s, 3H), 2.82−3.41 (m, 8H), 4.0−4.57 (m, 4H), 5.25 (bs, 1H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 9.92 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ: 12.4, 14.1, 28.4, 31.5, 36.5, 46.1, 47.6, 47.9, 48.0, 49.5, 61.4, 62.2, 79.0, 110.6, 141.4, 151.5, 156.5, 162.7, 164.6, 167.1,168.9, 169.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : $[M + Na]^+$ Calcd for $C_{19}H_{30}N_4NaO_7$ 449.2012; Found 449.2003.

Synthesis of 2-(N-(3-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)propyl)-2- (5-methyl-2,4-dioxo-3,4-dihydropyrimidin-1(2H)-yl)acetamido)acetic acid (16). The ester 21 $(9.0 \text{ g}, 21.12 \text{ mmol})$ in THF was saponified with 10% aq. LiOH at 0 °C for 3 h. The mixture was acidified with sat. aq. $KHSO_4$, the acid compound was extracted with EtOAc, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and evaporated to obtain the desired monomer 16 (Yield 6.20 g, 77%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) *δ*: 1.36 (min) 1.37 (maj) (s, 9H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.49−1.72 (m, 2H) 2.86− 3.01 (m, 2H), 3.23−3.35 (m, 2H), 3.95 (maj) 4.18 (min) (s, 2H), 4.45(min) 4.60 (maj) (s, 2H), 6.82 (bs, 1H), 7.30 (min) 7.39 (maj) (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ: 12.4, 27.8, 28.7, 37.7, 45.7, 47.8, 48.2, 78.0, 108.4, 142.7, 151.4, 156.0, 164.9, 167.3, 167.8, 170.8, 171.3; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z : [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₇H₂₇N₄O₇ 399.1880; Found 399.1873.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

3 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614.

¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and ¹⁹F NMR of compounds 3–15, [HPLC of PNA o](http://pubs.acs.org)ligomers 1−8[, MALDI-TOF ma](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b01614)ss spectra PNA oligomers 1–8, UV− T_m profiles of duplexes of PNA oligomers 1−6 with DNA 1, 2 and RNA 1, 2. CD spectra of PNA oligomers 1−6 and their duplexes with DNA 1 and RNA 1 (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: kn.ganesh@iiserpune.ac.in.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:kn.ganesh@iiserpune.ac.in) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.E. thanks CSIR (New Delhi) for research fellowship. K.N.G. is a recipient of JC Bose Fellowship and a Honorary Professor at JNCASR, Bengaluru.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Nielsen, P. E. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 1995, 24, 167− 183.

(2) Hyrup, B.; Nielsen, P. E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 1996, 4, 5−23.

(3) (a) Good, L.; Nielsen, P. E. Antisense Nucleic Acid Drug Dev. 1997, 7, 431−437. (b) Uhlmann, E.; Peyman, A.; Breipohl, G.; Will, D. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2796-2823.

(4) Egholm, M.; Buchardt, O.; Christensen, L.; Behrens, C.; Freier, S. M.; Driver, D. A.; Berg, R. H.; Kim, S. K.; Norden, B.; Nielsen, P. E. Nature 1993, 365, 566−568.

(5) Jensen, K. K.; Qrum, H.; Nielsen, P. E.; Norden, B. Biochemistry 1997, 36, 5072−5077.

- (6) Nielsen, P. E. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 624−630.
- (7) Nielsen, P. E. Mol. Biotechnol. 2004, 26, 233−248.

(8) Nielsen, P. E.; Egholm, M.; Berg, R. H.; Buchardt, O. Anti-Cancer Drug Des. 1993, 8, 53−63.

(9) Kumar, V. A.; Ganesh, K. N. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 404−412. (10) Kumar, V. A.; Ganesh, K. N. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2007, 7, 715−726.

(11) Smart, B. E. J. Fluorine Chem. 2001, 109, 3−11.

(12) (a) Biffinger, J. C.; Kim, H. W.; DiMagno, S. G. ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 622−627. (b) Bohm, H.-J.; Banner, D.; Bendels, S.; Kansy, M.; Kuhn, B.; Muller, K.; Obst-Sander, U.; Stahl, M. ChemBioChem 2004, 5, 637−643.

(13) Wang, J.; Sánchez-Roselló, M.; Acena, J. L.; del Pozo, C.; Sorochinsky, A. E.; Fustero, S.; Soloshonok, V. A.; Liu, H. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 2432−2506.

(14) Doi, Y.; Katafuchi, A.; Fujiwara, Y.; Hitomi, K.; Tainer, J. A.; Ide, H.; Iwai, S. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006, 34, 1540−1551.

(15) Dolain, C.; Patwa, A.; Godeau, G.; Barthélémy, P. Appl. Sci. 2012, 2, 245−259.

(16) Hollenstein, M.; Leumann, C. J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1987−1990.

(17) Kiviniemi, A.; Murtola, M.; Ingman, P.; Virta, P. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 5153−5159.

(18) (a) Compound 2: Inman, M.; Moody, C. J. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6023−6026. (b) Meltzer, P. C.; Liang, A. Y.; Matsudiara, P. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 4305−4308. (c) Shibata, N.; das, B. K.; Honjo, H.;

Takeuchi, Y. JCS Perkin I 2001, 1605−1611. (19) Kang, S. H.; Cho, M. J.; Kole, R. Biochemistry 1998, 37, 6235−

6239. (20) Hyrup, B.; Egholm, M.; Rolland, M.; Nielsen, P. E.; Berg, R. H.; Buchardt, O. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 6, 518−519.

(21) (a) Hatamoto, M.; Ohashi, A.; Imachi, H. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2010, 86, 397−402. (b) Gambari, R. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 2014, 24, 267−294.

(22) (a) Jain, D. R.; Anandi, L. V.; Lahiri, M.; Ganesh, K. N. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 9567−9577. (b) Sahu, B.; Chenna, V.; Lathrop, K. L.; Thomas, S. M.; Zon, G.; Livak, K. J.; Ly, D. H. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 1509−1516.